2018 HEALTH SERVICES OFFICER CATEGORY PROMOTION BENCHMARKS

PY 2018 Guidance Regarding Promotion Precepts and Benchmarks for Commissioned Corps Officers

Officers competing for promotion are rated on the five Promotion Precepts described in the electronic Commissioned Corps Issuance System (eCCIS) Instructions 331.01 (old CCPM 23.4.1) "Permanent Grade Promotions", and 332.01 (old CCPM 23.4.2) "Temporary Grade Promotions", and noted below. To assist officers in better understanding the Promotion Precepts, the Precepts are described in terms of Factors. Each Factor has a Benchmark, which is a level of achievement for the officer given the category and grade.

The purpose of this Guidance is to inform officers and promotion boards of the levels of achievement per Promotion Precept generally considered to describe the "best qualified" officer for a specific category at a specific grade. This document can also benefit the officer in setting some personal long term goals for his or her career advancement.

The Chief Professional Officers (CPO) and Professional Advisory Committee (PAC) Chairs, in consultation with their constituent category members, revise the Guidance annually to reflect the ever changing missions and policies of the Corps. All five Promotion Precepts are identical for all categories, as are the Benchmarks for Promotion Precepts 1, 4 and 5. The Benchmarks for Promotion Precepts 2 and 3 are category-specific.

The benchmarks for Precepts 1 – 5 are levels of achievement and/or standards of excellence that describe the "best-qualified" officer. They serve as a basis by which officers can be measured within each category. No Officer is expected to meet all the standards for Precepts 1 - 5. Many promoted officers will have achievements that exceed the factors for one or two precepts, but may not meet all the factors for others. Therefore these Benchmarks should not be considered a checklist of activities that must be completed in order to be promoted. Quality and impact of an officer's service is far more important than the quantity of activities in which they participate.

The individual factors within each Precept are not listed in priority order. The importance of each factor is left to the discretion of the Promotion Boards. The members of the Promotion Boards review the service records of each officer under consideration for promotion and each assigns a score for the specific Promotion Precept. Promotion Board members exercise their professional judgment and discretion in the review and rating of each record.

There is no time period that limits which of the officer's activities and accomplishments are eligible for consideration. However, activities and accomplishments subsequent to an officer's last promotion should receive priority consideration.

The Promotion Precepts are weighted as follows:

 Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's Statement (Performance) 	40%
2. Education, training, and professional development	20%
3. Career progression and potential	25%
 Professional contributions and services to the PHS Commissioned Corps (Officership) 	15%
5. Basic Readiness	***0%

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Although the Readiness precept no longer carries any weight with regard to numerical score for promotion, basic readiness remains one of the several administrative checks for promotion. Officers in a "not ready" status at the 31 Dec RedDOG status report prior to the promotion year will receive an automatic Board Not Recommend. In addition, officers in a "not ready" status at the subsequent 31 March RedDOG status report, who were otherwise successful, will be removed from the successful list. Officers are advised to maintain basic readiness at all times.

Promotion Board members examine many documents in the officer's electronic Official Personnel Folder (eOPF) during the promotion review. Examples of these documents include, but are not limited to: Commissioned Officers' Effectiveness Report (COER); Promotion Information Report (PIR); curriculum vitae; the Officer's and Reviewing Official's Statements; award narratives; and letters of appreciation. The most recent COERs (e.g., the last 3-5 years) are generally given the most consideration by Promotion Board members, although earlier COERs may also be reviewed.

Career development resources (e.g., Curriculum Vitae (CV) reviews, mentoring, internet training tools, career development seminars, fellow officers, serving in similar roles, etc.) provided by the PACs, agency liaisons, Division of Commissioned Corps Personnel and Readiness (DCCPR), and the CPOs should be explored and fully utilized by all officers.

The Benchmarks will change as the Commissioned Corps continues to evolve. Any comments or suggestions that you have on the Benchmarks may be submitted to your PAC Chair, and will be carefully considered for incorporation into the next annual revision.

	1. Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's Statement (Performance)						
Factor	Benchmarks P- O2*	Benchmarks T- O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T- O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T- O6/P-O5/P-O6			
Commissioned Officers' Effectiveness Report (COER) Based on information	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the			
contained in the Officer's Statement, separate from the Reviewing Official's	indicated value. Secondary assessment will	indicated value. Secondary assessment will	indicated value. Secondary assessment will	indicated value. Secondary assessment will			
Statement, the officer will be rated on promotion readiness as it relates to:	include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.	include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.	include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.	include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.			
 Progression of responsibility Achievement and contributions to the 	Guidance provided as needed/requested to complete assignments of moderate complexity and	Guidance provided as needed/requested to complete assignments of moderate complexity and	Evidence of independent performance of complex tasks requiring developed proficiency and higher	Independent initiative, evidenced by development, oversight, coordination and/or leadership of projects of			
agency mission • Personal accountability for developing skills and leadership effectiveness	impact. Skill development reflects potential for leadership and willingness/ability to assume increasing levels of responsibility.	impact. Skill development reflects potential for leadership and willingness/ability to assume increasing levels of responsibility. Completes assigned duty-related	responsibility with positive impact on the program. Demonstrated leadership of program teams or projects.	exceptional difficulty with an expected level of expertise. Assumption of overall personal accountability for the involved program or project.			
	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.			
	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.			
	missions. The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade and should currently occupy an O-6 billet.			

PY 2018 FACTORS and BENCHMARKS FOR PROMOTION PRECEPTS

Factor	Benchmarks P- O2*	Benchmarks T- O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T- O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T- O6/P-O5/P-O6
Award History**	There should be a record of	There should be a record of	There should be a record of	There should be a record of
	awards across the career.	awards across the career.	awards across the career.	awards across the career.
Progression of awards,	Officers should strive for	Officers should strive for	Officers should strive for	Officers should strive for
elevance to mission, quality,	increasing levels of	increasing levels of	levels of achievement that	levels of achievement that
as well as quantity, across	achievement including team	achievement that reflects	are distinctly greater than	reflects exceptional
he career is assessed:	or unit participation, which	superior efforts, including	expected and which should	leadership and which should
	may result in individual or unit	team or unit participation,	result in progressively higher	result in progressively highe
\circ PHS Individual and Unit	awards (e.g., a PHS Citation	which may result in individual	individual awards or unit	individual awards or unit
Honor Awards (e.g., PHS	Medal or Unit	or unit awards (e.g., an	recognition (e.g., a	recognition (e.g., an
Citation Medal,	Commendation).	Achievement Medal or Unit	Commendation Medal or Unit	Outstanding Service Medal of
Outstanding Service		Commendation).	Commendation).	Outstanding Unit Citation).
Medal, Unit	Division, Institute, and			
Commendation)	Agency (including non-DHHS	Division, Institute, and	Division, Institute, and	Division, Institute, and
	agencies), and professional	Agency (including non-DHHS	Agency (including non-DHHS	Agency (including non-DHH
$_{\odot}$ Other Awards &	organization awards, and	agencies), and professional	agencies), and professional	agencies), and professional
Recognition	recognition such as letters of	organization awards, and	organization awards, and	organization awards, and
	commendation.	recognition such as letters of	recognition such as letters of	recognition such as letters o
 PHS Service Awards 		commendation.	commendation.	commendation.
(e.g., Isolated Hardship	Service should clearly reflect			
Service Award, Special	the impact(s) that evolve from	Service should clearly reflect	Service should clearly reflect	Service should clearly reflec
Assignment Service	responsibility and	the impact(s) that evolve from	the impact(s) that evolve from	the impact(s) that evolve from
Award)	performance of the officer.	responsibility and	responsibility and	responsibility and
		performance of the officer.	performance of the officer.	performance of the officer.
Reviewing Official's	Exhibits Leadership	Exhibits Leadership	Demonstrates Leadership	Accomplished Leadership
Assessment for Promotion	Qualities	Qualities	Skills	Role
Readiness				
	Recognizing junior officers	Recognizing junior officers	Recognizing exceptional	Recognizing leaders who
Based on information	with the potential and	with the potential and	personal leadership skill and	have moved into key
contained in the Reviewing	inspiration to influence.	inspiration to influence.	significant potential or	leadership roles and have a
Official's Statement (separate			competence as a leader or	proven record of influence
from the Officer's Statement),			manager.	and achievement (e.g.,
the officer will be rated on	For example: As assessed in	For example: As assessed in		Subject Matter Expert,
promotion readiness as it	ROS, candidate excels:	ROS, candidate excels:		Program Chief/Director or
relates to:			For example: As assessed in	equivalent).
	a) In attributes that serve the	a) In attributes that serve the	ROS, candidate excels:	
• Current Leadership Role	leadership in a group, team,	leadership in a group, team,		For example: As assessed in
in Command/ Agency	committee, or branch work	committee, or branch work	a) In the contributions to and	ROS, candidate excels:
December 1	and with the potential for	and with the potential for	support of a management,	
• Progression of	team leadership or	team leadership or	supervisory, technical or	a) In an executive, senior
Leadership Potential	management role.	management role.	clinical expert and/or	management, expert, and/or
		1	I program leadership role	I spacial advisory/consultant
	and/or	and/or	program leadership role.	special advisory/consultant position.

Factor	Benchmarks P- O2*	Benchmarks T- O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T- O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T- O6/P-O5/P-O6
Agency Missions			and/or	
0	b) As a member of a task	b) As a member of a task		and/or
	force or similar group at, or	force or similar group at, or	b) As a member or leader of	
	above, the local or regional	above, the local or regional	a task force or similar group	b) As a leader of a task forc
	Branch or Division level.	Branch or Division level.	at, or above, the local or	or a similar group at either
			regional Agency level.	the regional, national or
	Other considerations <i>may</i>	Other considerations <i>may</i>		international Agency level.
	include:	include:	Other considerations <i>may</i>	C <i>J</i>
			include:	Other considerations <i>may</i>
	Authorship of publications or	Authorship of publications or		include:
	other written communication	other written communication	Primary or secondary	
	or oral presentations that	or oral presentations that	authorship of publications or	Primary or secondary
	strive for increasing impact	strive for increasing impact	other written communication	authorship of publications of
	(e.g., at, or above, the local/	(e.g., at, or above, the local/	or oral presentations that	other written communicatio
	regional Branch, or Division	regional Branch, or Division	strive for increasing impact	or oral presentations that
	level).	level).	(e.g., at or above the local or	strive for increasing impact
			regional Agency level).	(e.g., at either the regional,
				national or international
		Engages in collateral		Agency level).
		activities that contribute to the	Engages in collateral	
		Agency/PHS mission.	activities that contribute to the	Evidence that career duties
			Agency/PHS mission.	and collateral activities
				contribute to visibility and
				impact of the Agency/PHS
				Commissioned Corps
		porary O4 promotions for the Me		mission.

** - Please refer to CCI 511.01 (old CC 27.1.1) Awards Program for a description of the Honor and Service Awards.

	2. Education, Training, & Professional Development					
Factor	Benchmarks T-O4/P- O3	Benchmarks T- O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6			
• Degrees	Bachelor's	Master's	Master's			
 Certifications/Credentialing, Licensure (beyond that required for appointment) 	 -Advanced practice credentials or certification in field or other related area (e.g., EMT or Diplomat status, CHES, MCHES, and CPH) -Member in discipline certifying body or college. 	 Advanced practice credentials or certification in field or other related area (e.g., EMT or Diplomat status) Member in discipline certifying body or college. 	 Advanced practice credentials or certification in field or other related area (e.g., EMT or Diplomat status) Fellow in discipline certifying body or college. 			
• Continuing Education	Accumulate the minimum number of continuing education (CE/CME) credits per year or equivalent that meets or exceeds the national and/or state standard for the officer's qualifying degree (standard provided by the officer).	Accumulate the minimum number of continuing education (CE/CME) credits per year or equivalent that meets or exceeds the national and/or state standard for the officer's qualifying degree (standard provided by the officer). Identifies and develops educational programs for a broader public health audience at the local or regional level.	Accumulate the minimum number of continuing education (CE/CME) credits per year or equivalent that meets or exceeds the national and/or state standard for the officer's qualifying degree (standard provided by the officer). Identifies and develops educational programs for a broader public health audience at the national or international level.			
Public Health Training/Experience beyond initial degree (can also be counted in continuing education)	Evidence of additional course work or experience in public health or course work related to job that contributes to current or future PHS assignments (e.g., Health Care Management, Information Systems Technology, Bioterrorism, or National Security).	Evidence of advanced course work or experience in public health or course work related to job that contributes to current or future PHS assignments (e.g., Health Care Management, Information Systems Technology, Bioterrorism, or National Security). Assisting in developing course work for public health training that builds upon past experiences.	Evidence of advanced course work or experience in public health or course work related to job that contributes to current or future PHS assignments (e.g., Health Care Management, Information Systems Technology, Bioterrorism, or National Security). Creates and leads new programs in public health.			

	3. Career Progression and Potential						
Factor	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P- O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/PO6				
Pillar Assignment	Officer encumbers a position that meets one of the five pillars.						
Billet level	At least O-4	At least O-5	At least O-6				
	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade.				
Assignments	Shows progression in responsibility, leadership and independence		→				
	Independently conducts projects of moderate complexity with limited guidance	Independently performing professional tasks. Provides leadership as a team or project leader	Expert in their area with responsibility for independently conducting/leading projects.				
• Mobility (Programmatic and/or Geographic)	No minimum level [Combined programmatic and/or geographic moves]	1 or more during career [Combined programmatic and/or geographic moves]	3 or more during career [Combined programmatic and/or geographic moves] -May consider fewer moves for an				
			expert or specialist as long as moves reflect increasing responsibility and leadership				
Collateral Duties	Documented participation of significant or meaningful involvement in ≥1 organizational collateral duty/activity at the local/institutional level.	or meaningful involvement in ≥2	Documented participation of significant or meaningful involvement in ≥3 organizational collateral duties/activities with increased responsibility at the local/institutional level.				

Factor	Benchmarks P- O2*	Benchmarks T- O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T- O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T- O6/P-O5/P-O6
• Honor/ Integrity/Dut v	Displaying honor and integrity as an officer.			
As a USPHS Officer	Completes mandatory CC training			
 Honor and integrity are the consistent regard for the highest standards of behaviors and the refusal to violate one's personal and professional codes. 	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing
 Duty is the free acceptance of a commitment to service. 	Standing	standing	standing	standing
Officer CC Contributions Significant contributions are based on information contained in the Officer's Statement, CV, and documented in letters of	Appointed member or volunteer.	Appointed member or volunteer.	Appointed member or volunteer who leads subcommittee or demonstrates substantive role.	Appointed member or volunteer who serves as Chair or Vice-Chair, or lead subcommittees, or demonstrates substantive role.
 appreciation. Examples may include: Membership/ Leadership/ Involvement in PAC and Advisory Groups (e.g., Junior Officers Advisory Group, Minority Officers Liaison Council) 	Evidence that [CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the local level.	Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the local level.	Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the regional level.	Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the regional, national or international level.
• Recruitment Activities		Documented recruitment activities	Documented recruitment activities	Documented recruitment activities

Factor	Benchmarks P- O2*	Benchmarks T- O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T- O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T- O6/P-O5/P-O6
 Mentoring Professional contributions Commitment to professional development and officer visibility, <i>i.e while in uniform.</i> Significant contributions are based on information contained in the CV, and documented in letters of appreciation, awards, etc. Examples may include: Membership/ Involvement in Professional, Uniformed Service, and Specialty Organizations 	Participates as a protégé in regular one-on-one or group mentoring activities Active member at the local, level	Participates as a protégé in regular one-on-one or group mentoring activities. Active member at the local, regional, levels.	Participates as a primary or supportive mentor in regular one-on-one or group mentoring activities Seeks mentors within peers or higher level Completes a formal mentor assignment verified via letter from PAC, Advisory Group, Agency leadership, etc. Recruits other mentors to support professional development of peers. Active member at the regional, or national, or levels. Serves as contributing member to the organization through a committee or subcommittee.	 Participates as a primary mentor in regular one-on- one or group mentoring activities. Seeks mentors within peers or higher level. Completes a formal mentor assignment verified via lette from PAC, Advisory Group, Agency leadership, etc. Recruit, train, support and manage other mentors for the professional development of other officers. Active member at the national or international levels. Serves in a leadership role in the organization such as subcommittee Chair or Chai of the organization.
Presentations and Outreach	Participation at local and regional meetings or activities of professional organizations	Presentations and/or outreach at local and regional meetings or activities of professional organizations	Presentations and/or outreach regional meetings or activities of professional organizations Evidence of greater visibility in promoting the Corps to broader audiences.	Presentations and/or outreach regional, national or international meetings or activities of professional organizations Sought out by meeting planners for presentations with evidence of greater impact in support of Corps/Agency missions.

* - Temporary O2 and O3 promotions for all categories and Temporary O4 promotions for the Medical and Dental Categories are determined by an administrative file review as outlined in CCI 332.01 (old CC23.4.2, 6-2). Officers are encouraged to use the Factors and Benchmarks listed for T-O4/P-O2 & O3 for career development purposes.

5.Readiness				
FactorBenchmarks P- 02Benchmarks T- 04/P-03Benchmarks T- 05/P-04Benchmarks T- 06/P-05/P-06				
NA	Officer meets and maintains Basic Readiness Standards.			

Note: Officers may submit a request for a temporary medical waiver to the Medical Affairs for medical issues that would prevent an officer from achieving or maintaining readiness status.